

Registration no. - [REDACTED]

I am a retired professional engineer and in the last six years I have developed a Net Zero level of trust in every one of our institutions.

My own lifetime work experience extends to multi million pound projects so I am more than aware of timelines associated with projects of scale.

And given the timeline, together with statements made by [REDACTED], what is abundantly clear to me is that [REDACTED] Government during the Lockdown periods of 2020, when both the public and Parliament were completely shut down, thereby effectively cancelling any opportunity for public or Parliamentary debate or indeed scrutiny.

Given the total lack of due process, this project, therefore, in my opinion, is one of the [REDACTED] I have experienced in my lifetime. It is [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] without which the project would never have left the drawing board - and all to satisfy a so called [REDACTED] Agenda that was never mandated.

It seems to me also that the progress of this project, as evidenced by not only land and property purchases but also the amount of development work already completed from the Norfolk coast line to Swardeston, [REDACTED] - [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

This leads me on to today's theatre.

Reading through the mountainous documents sent out preceding today's event I would like to select a few paragraphs to highlight my point.

Extracts taken from the Rule 6 letter :-

The Examination Authority (EXA ) will or will not consider the following :-

- 1. Any adverse impact of the Proposed Development would not outweigh its benefits - Who is the judge of the Impact/Benefit Analysis and where is it !!**
- 2. Sec of State is entitled to completely disregard any representations that relate to the merit of the designated Scheme - done and dusted then !!**
- 3. No one is able to challenge Policy or Its Validity - so [REDACTED] marches on nonstop !!**
- 4. It will consider Merits and disadvantages only - yeh right !! - I don't think !!**

5. It is the Secretary of State ( [REDACTED] himself) who will make the decision on the application, not the Examination Authority - well why bother then! - - not just a circus but also a complete and utter farce !!
6. So, who pays when [REDACTED] [REDACTED] - [REDACTED] ??

Finally, I am also extremely concerned that there appears to be [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] !

Perhaps, for what it's worth, you can all now give me your assurance on this - after all full transparency is critical – even at this late stage !!